G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909
(Mugpai)

File No. 59 09

Serial Nos. 1 to 3



Papers Filed.

Month August 1909

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the use of certain insignias by the Samakkalis Matt, Hosadurga Taluk;

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

R.S. 11] 1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Murzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 59-09

FILE HEADING.

Petitions from the Panchathegar of Sirigere old matt praying that pending decision of his Appeal in the District Judge's court at Shimoga, no order may issue from Govt. permitting the use of any Birudu by Sunehalli matt.

From

Panchathegar Sivalingappa.

~~No(2)~~ Petitions Dated 14 & 20th July 1909 Received

Diary Nos. 146, 167	Enclos. -	Spare Copies -	Serial Nos. 1 & 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Out of the 2 petitions, that which is addressed to the Dewan Saheb has been received in this Office with the following order:— To, Murzrai Superintendent for disposal by Endorsement. The case

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

venue
riat.

File No. 59 of 1909

Serial No.

has been decided by Govt.

H. H. the Maharaja has been graciously pleased to direct that the insignia granted to the Sirigere new matt, may be continued to the Sanehally matt.

AV 23.7.09 Submitted for orders

Under Secretary

The draft order about the insignia has been separately submitted today. The Sirigere old Matt being about to appeal to the Dist Judge from the Munsiff's judgment is posted for hearing on the 17th prox. and that if in the meantime a final order authorising the use of insignia is issued it may induce the Dist. Judge to think that the independent Status of the Matt has been recognised. We therefore pray that the issue of the order may be delayed or that the order may be so worded as not to touch the judicial issue now in Civil Court.

My first Councillor ~~Avl Parthasarathi Aiyangar~~ has said that it is not known to decide whether the Sanehalli Matt is independent or not. If the Councillor approves, the words "whether independent of the old Sirigere Matt or not" may be ~~introduced~~ inserted in the draft

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

File No. 59 of 1909

Serial No.

1480
30/7/09

Rec'd

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-06

File No. 59 of 1909

Serial No.	
3	To - The Agent of the Zavala Bale Hale Matt. No 416 d 10.8.09 Intimates that orders have been issued reg the use of certain insignia's by the Somehalli Matt. To - The Parpatagar of the Somehalli Matt No 417 d 10 8/09 Forwards Copy of the above.

GPB—50 000—13-5 08

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

In explanation for my delay in submitting the draft, I respectfully beg to state that the file came to me for drafting on the 6th ultimo. Previous to this I had other cases on hand. Besides on the 5th idem, I was ordered to submit a Precis in another case wherein a review of H. H. the maharaja's order was sought for. In preparing this Precis, I had to go through the voluminous and old vernacular records of the years 1873-6. From the 10th idem, I was on duty in the Inam Superintendent's office to prepare the list ordered by the Dewan Saheb, showing ~~inhumans~~ certain ~~inamdars and others~~ particulars relating to the several

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Gram Villages of the Sri Uttaradi math. Again 6 murgai cases - 4 of which were regular Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. orders, had been posted for hearing before the Councillor on the 21st idem I had to prepare myself with these cases. In the meanwhile I had to attend to other routine cases of an urgent nature. The Council cases having closed on the 21st idem, I submitted the draft in the case in question on 23d idem. I have brought these and other difficulties to the notice of the manager. The delay in these circumstances, was, I beg respectfully to submit, unavoidable and I regret it very much I beg to be kindly excuses

Subrai 2:8:09

V. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—145,000—10.5-08

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subdd

It must be confessed that there has been a delay in submitting the draft in this case ~~for~~ which is much regretted. The Officer knows what heavy work there is in the Office and I have more than once respectfully submitted the difficulty in getting through the work expeditiously with the present hands. Every one is willing and works both in & out of Office hours to cope with the heavy work devolving in the Branch. The delay may be kindly excused

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

1/1

28709

G.R . 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

There has been a delay of 20 days
with me As Scarcely have I left the
Sheriffs Court time on the 22nd ult
I received no direction - As I Expected
by far looking into when I had become -
From hearing the 9th I was engaged in
looking into the Agra Letters papers
and attended the special Committee
sitting on the 23rd and 24th & 25th with
the file on the 29th & 30th on which date
the Special Committee did not
Sit.

Submitted herewith
Chatterjee

Noted.
Seen - I hope such
delays will not occur -

Submitted
4/5/09

Noted
7/5/09

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

Noted 7.8.09

A 146

Mscc 59-09-1

To the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mng. Appeal case No:
Mng. M.is. Pet. No:

Appellant:- The old Muttop Sirigeri, Chitladoorg Dq
by its agent Swalingappa

Respondent:- Gurupada Swami of Sanehalli Mutt
Hosadurga Dq.

NV Certified not
submitted as enquiry
17/7/1909

That the petitioner is the Appellant in the above case, in which, he has appealed against the order of Deputy Comr. of Chitladoorg, authorising the respondent to make use of certain insignia

That between the appellant & the respondent, the main contention, Viz that Sanehalli Mutt has no independant existance, that it has no right to enquire into caste disputes &c & that the present Swami is no Swami of the Mutt, So as to entitle him to enquire into caste disputes & to use any insignia, and that he is only an "appa" of the appellants Mutt, are questions raised in O.S. Suit No: 493 of '07 & 8 before the Munsiff of Chitladoorg.

That the findings of the Munsiff on the main issues are in favour of the appellant, but the suit is dismissed on the ground that the appellant did not prove his exclusive right & jurisdiction over the respondant Mutt.

That the appellant has filed an appeal in the Court of the Dist. Judge of Shimoga against the dismissal of the suit which stands posted for arguments on the 17th of Aug '09.

That the respondant has filed no cross appeal against the findings of fact recorded by the Munsiff in the above case.

That during the pendancy of the appeal before Govt. the respondent in reply to certain queries remitted by Govt, got certain reports by Village

officials with the connivance of the M
the findings made by the Munsiff, on a e
examination of the witnesses cited by both
and subjected to cross examination

That the Murgi Mutt has always been opposed to the appellant as evidenced by the records which the appellant has produced in the appeal before Govt in which new Sirigeri Mutt claimed certain insignias.

That the Dist Judge of Shimoga seems to have applied to Govt, for the records of the case before Govt, with a view to decide the pending Civil case before him posted for hearing on the 17th instno

Under these circumstances the appellant prays, that the final orders of Govt. in the above appeal petition of the appellant to Govt. be kindly deferred till the disposal of the Civil Appeal before the Dist. Judge of Shimoga & that an opportunity may afterwards be given to both parties to re-argue the appeal before Govt. in the light of the findings recorded by the Civil Court with regard to the main facts alleged by one party and denied by the other.

In the event of Govt. holding that the respondent may use some insignias, the appellant prays, that such an order may, kindly be held in abeyance pending the final recording of the findings of the District Judge of Shimoga, on the contentious fact, whether the respondent has an independent Status as Swami.

17.7.09

ಡ 164



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ

190 —190 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಮು॥ರಾ॥ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಿಗೆ.

ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ

ಸೋಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಪ್ಲೈಸಷ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅಜಿನ. ಪಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ~~ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವವಾವಾ ಸ್ವಾವರ ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸಾಮಾನು ಸಹ ಪಡದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಹಕ್ಕೂ~~, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಪಜು ಪಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದ ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಶಿ. ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ರುಜು.

ತಾರೀಖು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಪೆಕ್ಷಪು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಪ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

16/7/09

ಈ ಮಾರನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಮಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೀಪರ್‌ಯೆಂ. ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪ ನಲ್ಲಿ ರ ಮುಂತ್ತೆ.

D 164

1907

No.

Name of Petitioner, Sivalingappa

Village, Siripore

Taluk, Chittledroog

District, — do

Date of Arzi, 27 June 07

Date of Receipt, 2d July 07

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, "

ABSTRACT

This is a re
presentation from
the Siripore Jan
bara pcd Mutt,
~~represented~~ to the effec
that the Sanchal
Mutt Swamy is
not entitled to an
insignia and that
the Shanic not be
authorized to use
any — Petitioner
states that he has
preferred an appeal
in the Court of

GPB—500—17-5-09

Do Munsarim's
for disposal
by Govt —
The case has
been decided
by Govt
& the
Petitioner
VII 2017

All
20/7/09
My

District Judge,
Shimoga against
decision of the
Municipality of Chitta
-droog —

The papers have
been submitted to
His Highness the Ma
haraja —

D. O.

D 164 No 520

Bypass Nerve
Stent nil
Leads nil
am
27/10/09

211

416 & 417 ಫೈ 10.8.09 encl 1.

M.7 59-09-2

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ